

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/671,597	09/29/2003	Jae-Young Choi	1594.1252	8544
21171 STAAS & HA	7590 12/28/2006 LSEY LLP		EXAM	INER
SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005			KOCZO JR, MICHAEL	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
·	11, 50 2000		3746	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	ONTHS	12/28/2006	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/671,597	CHOI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Michael Koczo, Jr.	3746				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence ad	idress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was precised to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tinuity will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C.§ 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	osecution as to the	e merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are rejected.	i)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are rejected.					
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 11</u> is/are objected to.	☑ Claim(s) <u>1 and 11</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P	ΓΟ-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
Certified copies of the priority documents						
Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National	Stage			
• •	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>09-29-03</u> .	6)					

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claims 1 and 11 are objected to because of the following informalities: in claim 1, line 2, what is meant by "to form an appearance"? In claim 11, line 7, "operation" should read -- operate--. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1 to 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1, for example, does not clearly differentiate the normal mode from the variable capacity mode. That is, during the variable capacity mode the inlet port is maintained in an open state for a period of time. The inlet port is also maintained in an open state for a period of time during the normal mode. According to the specification, during the normal mode operation, the inlet port is maintained in an open state during several compression cycles, whereas during the variable cycle mode, the inlet port is periodically opened and closed during several compression cycles.

In claim 23, there is no reference frame for "horizontally". In claim 32, it is not clear what is meant by "determined capacity". How is the capacity determined?

Claims 1 to 9 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the elements. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted elements are: no piston is recited to form a variable volume working chamber with the cylinder

Claims 1 to 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential structural cooperative relationships of elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the necessary structural connections. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted structural cooperative relationships are: the "capacity control unit" is recited in a structurally and functionally disconnected manner. The claims fail to recite the relationship of the capacity control unit to the intake port which effects the various modes of operation.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 8 to 10, 19, 32 and 33, as understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by either of Shirakuma et al (US 4,432,698) or Nishida et al (JP 9-4548). Each of these references discloses a compressor having an intake valve which in one mode opens and closes cyclically with each compression stroke, and in another mode is kept open during plural compression strokes (unloaded state). Characterizing the compressor as a rotary compressor in

Art Unit: 3746

the preamble is not structurally limiting because no rotary piston is recited in the body of the claim.

Claims 1, 8 to 10, 17 to 19, 32 and 33, as understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Caillat. Caillat discloses a rotating piston compressor wherein the inlet port opening is modulated between various capacities from 0% (unloaded) to 100% (full load) by varying the duty cycle of the intake valve or by varying the cycle time (col. 1, bottom para., figs. 2 and 3). 100% (full load) capacity is readable as the "normal mode" and any capacity less than 100% and greater than 0% is readable as the "variable capacity mode".

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2 to 7, 11 to 16 and 20 to 31 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry relating to patent applications in general should be directed to the Patent Assistance Center at 1-800-786-9199.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Koczo, Jr. whose telephone number is 571-272-4830. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th; 7:00-3:30.

Application/Control Number: 10/671,597 Page 5

Art Unit: 3746

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ehud Gartenberg can be reached at 571-272-4828. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael Koczo, Jr. Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3746